IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 11766 of 2005

Between:

Kum. C. Keerthika D/o. Chinta Chandran, (H.No. 0446239).
H.No. 25/292, 3rd Cross Street, New Military Colony, A.K. Nagar, Nellore-4

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Director, Board of Secondary Education, Govt. of A.P. Hyderabad.
2 The Principal Secretary to the Govt. of A.P. School Education, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an Order, direction, ore a writ, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to call for the records and remarks from the Respondents herein relating to the marks secured by the petitioner herein below her expectations in her Secondary School Certificate(S.S.C.) Public Examination, March, 2005 and to pass appropriate orders directing the concerned authorities to constitute an independent panel of subject panel consisting of subject teachers and compare the answer scripts of S.S.C. Public Examination of the petitioner herein with those of the top ten student rankers in S.S.C. Public Examinations, March, 2005 in the interest of Justice and to pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Taken up PETITION

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR EDUCATION

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER: (per the Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

This petition was taken up on the basis of a letter in which a candidate had expressed her apprehension that she had got 40 marks les than she expected. She has got 541 out of 600 marks and notice was issued to the respondents to file counter affidavit and additional counter in which they denied the allegations levelled in the application. They say in the meantime, the petitioner had herself moved an application for recounting as there is no provision for re-evaluation and in recounting, minor mistakes were found and those were corrected. She had got an additional mark in Hindi whereas she lost two marks in Social Studies.

We do not think that there is any scope for this Court to go into the question whether the answers scripted by the petitioner could be ordered to be re-evaluated.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

_____

BILAL NAZKI, ACJ

DATE: 27th June, 2005

_____

G.CHANDRAIAH ,J

Pnb

To

1 The Director, Board of Secondary Education, Govt. of A.P. Hyderabad.
2 The Principal Secretary to the Govt. of A.P. School Education, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 Two C.Cs. to the G.P. for Education, Government of A.P., Hyderabad.

4 Two C.D. copies